

Individual Decision

The attached report will be taken as
Individual Portfolio Member Decision on:

Monday, 29th October, 2012

Ref:	Title	Portfolio Member	Page No.
ID2545	Rights of Way Case Programmes	Councillor Hilary Cole	1 - 22



This page is intentionally left blank

Individual Executive Member Decision

Title of Report:	Rights of Way Case Programmes
Report to be considered by:	Individual Executive Member Decision
Date on which Decision is to be taken:	29 October 2012
Forward Plan Ref:	ID2545

Purpose of Report: To report on progress towards completion of the Case Programmes for 2012/13.

Recommended Action: To note progress and the actions of the rights of way team in dealing with the cases assigned for 2012/13.

Reason for decision to be taken: To monitor progress on the Case Programme

Other options considered: N/A

Key background documentation: Public Rights of Way Case Programme
Report to Management Board - 11th September 2008
Statement of Prioritisation for Claims and Path Orders

Portfolio Member Details	
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Hilary Cole - Tel (01635) 248542
E-mail Address:	hcole@westberks.gov.uk

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	Elaine Cox
Job Title:	Senior Rights of Way Officer
Tel. No.:	01635 519069
E-mail Address:	elcox@westberks.gov.uk

Implications

Policy: Promoting and acting in the interests of the communities, people and businesses of the district.
Promoting a vibrant district.
Protecting the environment.
Putting people first.

Financial: None

Personnel: None

Legal/Procurement: None

Environmental: Limited environmental impact of maintenance and improvement works

Property: None

Risk Management: None

Equalities Impact Assessment: N/A

Consultation Responses

Members:

Leader of Council: Gordon Lundie

Overview & Scrutiny Management Commission Chairman: Brian Bedwell

Ward Members: N/A

Opposition Spokesperson: Jeff Brooks

Local Stakeholders: Tony Vickers

Officers Consulted: Paul Hendry, Jon Thomas, Sallie Jennings, Stuart Higgins

Trade Union: Rosemary Culmer

Is this item subject to call-in?	Yes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No: <input type="checkbox"/>
If not subject to call-in please put a cross in the appropriate box:		
The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Item is Urgent Key Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Report is to note only	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Supporting Information

1. Background

- 1.1 The Council possesses statutory duties to maintain the public rights of way network; keep it open for public use; and to record it and then to keep the records under continuous review (the 'Definitive Map' process). These duties have been translated into three public rights of way case programmes, concerned with 'Maintenance and Improvement', 'Enforcement', and 'Path Orders'.
- 1.2 The Maintenance and Improvement Case Programme is concerned with ensuring that the standard of public rights of way is suitable for their public use. It is drawn up each year from requests and complaints from the public; from surveys; and incorporates strategic improvements which enhance the network in accordance with the objectives of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan.
- 1.3 The Enforcement Case Programme is concerned with ensuring that the network remains free from obstruction and interference. The Council possesses extensive legal powers to assist it to carry out enforcement work if necessary. The Enforcement Case Programme is compiled from complaints from the public; from surveys; and interferences noted by officers. Some categories of enforcement work can be grouped together so as to utilise economies of scale, and these are termed 'projects'. An example of an annually active project is ploughing and cropping.
- 1.4 The Path Order Case Programme comprises two processes: 1. the investigation of evidence to support the existence of a public right of way which has not yet been recorded on the Definitive Map (a 'claim'); 2. the legal diversion, extinguishment or creation of a right of way. Both processes are usually carried out on receipt of an application from the public. The Council is under a statutory duty to investigate claims, but only a discretionary power to divert, extinguish or create.

2. Details of the three case programmes

- 2.1 **Maintenance Case Programme.** There are many outstanding requests and needs for maintenance and improvements on the network, but limited resources require a method for prioritisation. As a general approach, relative priorities for attention are determined through a matrix, attached as Appendix A, through which benefits to the public and value for money are assessed. The priorities are listed in the recorded Cased Programme, attached at Appendix B. This Case Programme is updated continually as new requests and needs come to light. Funding comes via the annual revenue rights of way works budget, and the capital programme (including S.106). There are plans to seek increased external funds where appropriate. Most surface works projects are carried out by contractors. There is, however, a large amount of maintenance work carried out by the team of rights of way Rangers, e.g. vegetation clearances and winter signposting. Volunteers also carry out simple tasks to keep the network open and easily useable.
- 2.2 **Enforcement Case Programme.** There are many outstanding enforcement matters recorded on the rights of way network, and present resources do not allow the Council to resolve them all. A monthly prioritisation process takes place, from which the highest priorities are selected for attention. The prioritisation matrix used is attached at Appendix A. The present priorities are listed in the Enforcement Case

Programme, attached at Appendix C. Timescales for dealing with cases can sometimes become extended if legal procedures are required.

- 2.3 **Path Order Case Programme.** The Path Order Case Programme is determined each year with close reference to the prioritisation procedure which was agreed between Countryside and Environment and Legal Services in 2008/09 (see background papers). Claims are generally dealt with in chronological order of receipt. For diversion, extinguishment or creation of public rights of way, the case will only be accepted if it is clearly in the interests of the public.
- 2.4 Appendix D lists all path order cases being dealt with in the current year. Appendix E lists the path order cases yet to be processed - the case programme for 2013/14 will be compiled from this list. If a new case is presented in the current year which meets the Statement of Prioritisation for Claims and Path Orders, then officers have agreed to make a request to the portfolio and relevant ward member, for permission for it to replace an agreed lower-priority case in the current Case Programme.
- 2.5 For claims, the Council has 12 months, from the date that the landowner was notified of the possibility that a right of way exists, to decide whether the evidence is sufficient for a Definitive Map Modification Order to be made. This timescale allows for the due legal processes to be followed. There is a right of appeal to the Planning Inspectorate if the Council either declines to make an Order or if there is a delay of more than one year in reaching a decision. A public inquiry procedure will be required if an Order is made and objections received.
- 2.6 For diversions, extinguishments and creations, there is no right of appeal to the Planning Inspectorate, but a public inquiry procedure will be required if an Order is made and objections received.
- 2.7 In addition to the cases, a project to re-digitise the Definitive Map of Public Rights of Way has been added. The present Definitive Map is accurate to a scale of 1:10,000 only, and this restricts the scale at which it can be viewed accurately, therefore limiting its use for both the public and council staff. Re-digitisation at 1:1250 also is consistent with British Standard 7666 Pt. 4 and will be needed prior to the statutory re-publication of the Definitive Map so that legal changes can be incorporated at the correct scale. It will enable the rights of way to be shown on the National Street Gazetteer, which is used by utility companies to identify and protect highways planned for excavation. This project has been left for several years whilst the rights of way case programme backlog was reduced.

3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

- 3.1 There is no decision to be made and therefore no Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 Progress on the three rights of way Case Programmes has been set out in this report. A further report is due early in 2013, where a decision will be requested as to the recommended content of the case programmes for 2013/14.

Appendices

Appendix A – Prioritisation matrix for maintenance and enforcement

Appendix B – Maintenance and Improvement Case programme

Appendix C - Enforcement Case Programme

Appendix D – Path Order Case Programme - path order cases being dealt with in the current year

Appendix E - Path Order Case Programme - path order cases yet to be processed

This page is intentionally left blank

PROW Priority Matrix Calculator Spreadsheet

		Add your ratings for each parameter in this column		
	Factor	Circumstances which would produce a score of 0	Circumstances which would produce a score of 5	Score for your path
1	Danger level along used route	No danger	Severe danger on a well-used path	0
2	Usage level	Not used	Very well used	0
3	Degree of obstruction of Definitive line	No obstruction	Completely obstructed	0
4	Benefit to general public once resolved	No benefit	Great benefit	0
5	Cost/time effectiveness in resolving	Major works on little-used path / poor evidence for enforcement action	Minor works on little-used path/ good evidence for enforcement action	0
6	Level of complaint	Minor problem noted by staff member / very low number of complaints	Many complaints received and/or representation from Parish Council	0
7	Potential for deterioration	Unlikely to deteriorate	Rapid deterioration could be stopped by prompt action	0
Score of 45 or over: high priority / 44 or less: low priority				Total with Multiplier
				0

This page is intentionally left blank

MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENT CASE PROGRAMME 2012/13

(Note - to be cross-referenced with S.106 PROW contributions list)

WORKS COMPLETED SO FAR IN CURRENT YEAR

Bucklebury Byway 23 – 4WD ‘ playground area’, surface problems
Bucklebury Byway 50 – rutted surface and surface water
Burghfield Footpath 23 – no bridge on Definitive line (may need diversion of path)
Chieveley Byway 7 (Green Lane) – poor surface for residents
Chieveley Bridleways 20 and 48 - Copyhold Farm Quarry – surface works still needed by operator on some new path sections
Chieveley Bridleway 28 – waterlogging - surface aggregate possibly needed to raise surface
Cold Ash Byway 5 (Drove Lane) – pothole repairs eastern end
East Ilsley Byway 1 – rutted surface - finish 2011 works in area by extending to this section
Enborne Byway 12A – very bad drainage and surface ruts problem
Farnborough Byway 12 – rutted surface
Inkpen Byway 11 – near Coombe Gibbet – byway repairs
Lambourn Byway 38 (Long Hedge) - very poor surface due to excessive horse and vehicle use
Leckhampstead Byway 16 – rutted surface
Newbury Footpath 28 (towpath) – wheelchair user request - acquire funds for sealed ‘rural’ type surface to Monkey Bridge / Dysons Close
Purley Access Project works – gates and surfacing at sites B, G and H
Stratfield Mortimer Footpath 10 - badly falling away path on promoted route
The Ridgeway – annual maintenance to comply with Natural England 10 year commitment
The Ridgeway – Bury Down Car Park - surface in poor condition at entrances
Sustainable practices - find out about appropriate materials to use in woodland environments, use appropriate materials for all environments. Consider ecological surveys where appropriate

WORKS PLANNED FOR CURRENT YEAR NOT YET COMPLETED

Basildon Footpath 3 – route to school - surface aggregate needed
Beedon Byway 2 – rutted surface - surface discing for cyclists
Beenham Byway 1 (Clay Lane) – drainage works needed to protect 2011 surface works
Bucklebury BW 57 – see John Walmsley’s report 2010 – vehicle damage (LTP target for 2012/13). JT dealing.
Bucklebury Byway 67 – either side of driveway to ‘Marywell’ – await landowner views
Bucklebury Byway 67 – interference with route, poor drainage
Chieveley – Old Kiln Quarry – diverted paths need some work, contact Raymond Brown
Chieveley – new link through woodland adjacent to Ardington Lane
Greenham Footpath 6 – surface potholes due to private vehicular access – identify which parties have legitimate access and discuss a repair and maintenance process
Newbury Footpath 19 – waterlogging, ditch work needed, landowner needs identifying
Shaw Footpaths 13 / 16 (Honeybottom) – steps needed along woodland slope
Shaw RB 14/1 – vegetation encroachment - clearance following bird nesting
Stratfield Mortimer Footpath 9/4 – waterlogging, chase up landowner (letter of 3/2/11)
Theale Footpath 4 – south end near bridge – muddy in winter ? If so, assess and arrange works

WORKS PLANNED FOR CURRENT YEAR IF SUFFICIENT FUNDS

Basildon Footpath 5 – siding out and possible resurfacing, route to school
Basildon Restricted Byway 13A – poor surface for horses
East Ilsley Byway 7 – reported deep ruts, quite close to the village
Inkpen Byway 11 (Wayfarers Way) – bad condition from road, past Gibbett to Wiltshire border – very expensive
Inkpen - Walbury Hill car park – needs archaeological liaison
Kintbury Byway 34 (Lip Lane) – sunken path, ruts
Newbury Footpath 28 – towpath west out of town to Monkey Bridge and Dysons Close. Seek S.106 funds
Stanford Dingley Footpath 11 – cross-fall on recreational route
Tilehurst Footpath 7 – muddy, needs ditch and surfacing (recreational route)
Tilehurst Footpaths 3 and 19 – resurfacing, preferably tarmac, as routes to school. Seek S.106 funds
Winterbourne Footpath 11A – steep slippery slope next to Ducksbridge Cottage

TO DO / ASSESS IN 2013/14

Burghfield Bridleway 16 – route to Garlands school – surfacing, tidy up vegetation, mowings dump
Lambourn Byway 47/3 – wheel ruts
East Ilsley Byway 2 – some ruts – harrow and roll ?
Compton Footpath 23 – surface silts, “damage by school runs” – question over whether action needed
Basildon restricted byway 13A – muddy – need to assess for horse use, alleged ‘slippery’ at western end
Basildon BR 12 – muddy through horse use
Bucklebury BR 73 – bog by gate
Burghfield BR 9 – muddy. Recent request for S.106 funds
Wokefield BW 13 – vehicle ruts

REQUESTS ARISING OUT OF RIGHTS OF WAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN – NEED TO INCORPORATE INTO CASE PROGRAMME

Surface improvements:

Aldermaston Footpath 8
Ashampstead Restricted Byways 25 and 30 (damage by shooting traffic)
Ashampstead Restricted Byway 1 – eastern two-thirds
Ashampstead Footpath 4 – southern end
Ashampstead Bridleway 10 – clearance / better drainage
Ashampstead Footpath 13 – clearance / better drainage
Ashampstead Footpath 45 – western end – clearance / better drainage
Frilsham Bridleway 17 – improve surface
Hermitage Footpath 16 – improve surface and vegetation clearance
Inkpen Byway 11 – whole length, Wayfarers Way
Inkpen Footpath 14 – boardwalk needed between western end of Manor Farm land and the brook

MONITOR / PENDING

Basildon BR 10 – surface damage by stables ? JT monitoring.

Basildon RB 13A – does the surface improve after installation of Kent Carriage Gap 2011 ?

Beedon Byway 22 - south of Hailey Lane – requires surface discing ? TRO bans four wheeled vehicles but not motorcycles – is it working ? Motorcycles causing narrow deep ruts ? Remember management options agreed (Option 2) (I//PROW/Maintenance / Management Plans / Beedon BW 22).

Beedon BWs 22/1, 22/3 and 23/2 - north of Hailey Lane – following works 2011 and single seasonal closure to vehicles winter 2011/12.

Beenham BR 10 – flat muddy field-edge. Ploughed field has removed water, the field size has been increased and the path moved back to its official line along hedgerow. Monitor to see if problem now solved.

Bucklebury RB 20 – big hole – maintain plastic guarding.

Hungerford FP 2 – renovation as a link to town - S.106 developer contributions coming?

Newbury Flood Alleviation Scheme 2009 – associated works affecting FP 28 (towpath).

Shaw FP 13/16 (Honeybottom) – agreed with parish council 2011 to monitor the section subject to the maintenance agreement with the landowner through winter 2011/12.

Speen FP 2 – flooding as a result of A34 construction - bridge too high and path too low? – Highways Agency responsibility, level of path needs raising (no vehicles require access).

Speen FP 30 under A34 – still flooding ? If so, bank work and path raising needed, and also EA approval.

LOW PRIORITY / LITTLE PRESSURE TO DEAL WITH

Basildon Footpath 5 – muddy where narrows by fence

Boxford FP 6/1 – parish council asking to remedy mud. Little mud observed Jan 2012

Lambourn Byway 63 – ROW Rangers reported ruts and water 2011 – surface is safe but rutted Jan 2012

Stratfield Mortimer Footpath 30 – ditch to get across at road end – is OK for able-bodied people

****Many other cases** - see papers in 13/24/002-109 (A) Pending Maintenance Contract Cases. Review priorities each year when compiling new high-priority list.

IN THE HANDS OF OTHERS

Bucklebury BW 56 – renovation for cyclists – local funding bid being made. Highways and Transport policy also involved. LTP target.

Newbury FP 28 – Lock Island towpath (disability action plan target) -responsibility of British Waterways (owner).

ROW RANGERS - ROUTINE GRIP / DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE

List in preparation – a new team initiative.

Welford Footpath 17/1 - grips

ROW RANGERS - ONGOING ANNUAL WORKS

Winter signposting project

Summer vegetation clearances and routine clearance schedule

Winter clearances

Volunteer group site preparations

Step construction and maintenance

Tree clearances

Gate deliveries

Stile and gate maintenance

PROW Enforcement Case Programme – Sept 2012

Green – on course to be completed 2012/13

Amber – aim to complete 2012/13 if possible

Red – will not be completed 2012/13

No	Case	Overriding Issue	Priority	Action	Consequences if not dealt with	Traffic Lights
1	Lambourn/ East Garston DWF Tulloch land, three unauthorised gates recently erected	User complaints	Medium	Members contacted. LO warning letter out. Agent chased July 2012.	Increased complaints, precedent.	
2	Stanford Dingley BR17 line of dead oaks adjacent to promoted route.	Hazard over promoted route User complaint and Officer inspections	Med	Survey required & works needed. Bats likely. Contractor to quote – farmer to pay half.	Possible injury to path user. WBC liability.	ongoing
3	Tilehurst BR11 visual tree inspection needed	Hazard - high target path	High	Quote received – anticipated to go ahead Autumn 2012 .	Possible injury to path user. WBC liability.	High target
4	Newbury FP22 Locked gate obstruction	User complaint	Medium	Direct action.	Increased complaints, precedent.	Sensitive
5	Ploughing & Cropping	Network problem	High	Autumn Round Robin letter needed. Several Notices issued over spring/ summer.	Increased complaints	

PROW Enforcement Case Programme – Sept 2012

6	Hampstead Norreys BR3 <i>Rising bollard</i>	Insurers Legal and Highways very concerned	High	DoT consulted by Highways engineer. Insurers and Legal concerned. May need to issue Notice – possibility of JR	Injury to road user/ path user. WBC liability. Precedent.	
7	Thatcham FP16 Fencing in historic width	Blatant 50%+ precedent seen on inspection	Medium	Ramblers Assoc & Open Spaces Soc & Town Council approached – no objections rec'd. Leave in abeyance?	Precedent - adverse possession attempt	
8	Thatcham FP19 Unauthorised gates	User complaint – pedestrian gate obstructed	Medium	Recently resolved – new kissing gate installed.		
9	Beenham BR15 Obstructions	Further user complaints on popular route	Low	To write to landowner suggesting diversion or creation order	Increased complaints	
10	Visual tree inspection project	Safety of users, particularly on popular routes and routes to school	High	Inspection programme has started, increased resource to be directed to it Autumn and winter 2012/13	Safety implication	
11	Stiles and gates project	Need to increase accessibility of the network	Medium to high / ongoing	Ongoing work with landowners and volunteers to replace stiles and inaccessible structures with more accessible replacements	Equalities implications, continued requests from the public	
12	Tree Obstructions Various locations throughout District	Laid down by farmers/ landowners to prevent illegal vehicle access – paths may be obstructed however.		Inspections needed esp on Yattendon Estate land. Protocol needed to ensure consistency. One farmer warned of possible legal action.	Possible WBC liability if member of public injured. Paths obstructed.	
13	Padworth Restricted Byway 19 Repeat obstruction	Important local riding route obstructed, children intimidated	High	Site meeting with landowner – warned next step possible formal caution/ prosecution	Route unavailable. WBC enforcement seen as ineffective	

West Berkshire Council Public Rights of Way Case programme April 2012-April 2013

These are the cases that West Berkshire Council have prioritized for attention for April 2012-2013. Each case is to be investigated, and a formal decision made on how to proceed. Wherever necessary Orders will be made, advertised and confirmed

DMMO or PPO*	Ward	Parish/Case	Path/location	Details
DMMO	Burghfield	Burghfield	Burghfield 27 (Green Farm, Burghfield Hill)	Diversion Order CONFIRMED 27th September 2012. Once new footbridge is installed the coming into effect of the Order will be advertised.
DMMO	Aldermaston	Brimpton	Brimpton Common short path	Adding a public footpath across Brimpton Common from Public Footpath 30 to Byway Open to All Traffic 11. Moved down from 2011-12 caselist. Application has been investigated, decision report needs to be finalised. Charity Land guidance may need to be clarified.
DMMO	Victoria	Newbury	Newbury, White House Wharf (The Narrow Boat)	claimed public footpath from London Road through Narrowboat public house carpark onto towpath. Moved down from 2011-12 caselist. Application to be investigated for a formal decision on whether or not to make an Order
DMMO	Victoria	Newbury	Kennetside (Bone Lane to canal towpath)	Claimed public right of way from Bone Lane to canal towpath by footbridge opposite Narrowboat Inn. New application, summer 2012. Evidence has been investigated. Legal opinion is being sought on whether the route is a single 'way'.
DMMO	Mortimer	Padworth	Padworth 21	Decision made on 21st August 2012 NOT to make an Order due to insufficient supporting evidence and also some evidence of a lack of intention to dedicate the route as a public bridleway. APPEAL AGAINST DECISION MADE TO PLANNING INSPECTORATE SEPTEMBER 2012
PPO	Lambourn Valley	Great Shefford	Great Shefford 11	Proposed diversion of public footpath out of Church graveyard, will also enable an issue relating to a missing link to the highway. Longstanding case to divert path onto a permitted route that is already preferred by local walkers. Decision Report has been written and is being checked by Legal Team (October 2012).
These are 2011-2012 cases where administrative action is required...				
DMMO	Bucklebury	Bucklebury, Carbins Wood	Record Public Bridleway across Carbins Wood	Decision made 1st June 2012 NOT to make an Order to record a public bridleway through Carbins Wood, Bucklebury. Applicant has appealed against this decision to the Planning Inspectorate. Inspectorate decision is awaited.
PPO	Kintbury	Welford 16	Diversion to allow permitted development to take place	Five objections received against the Order. The Planning Inspectorate written representation process is now underway. Decision expected early 2013.
These are 2010-2011 cases where administrative action is required...				
PPO	Birch Copse	Tilehurst 13	Extinguishment application - but now to be processed as a diversion as extinguishment unlikely to succeed	Extinguishment application refused but a diversion application form was submitted February 2011 to resolve this matter. Applicant has not responded to request for landownership clarification and has built a garage on legal line of path without planning permission - matter on hold.
PPO	Purley-on-Thames	Thames Path creation agreements/orders	Creation Agreement/Order	Further Public Footpath Creation Agreements are being negotiated by West Berkshire Council to complete the Thames Path route approved by the Secretary of State for Environment in 1989. Creation Orders may also be considered. Case ongoing....
PPO	Hungerford	Hungerford 36	Public Footpath	Diversion Order made and unopposed but only comes into effect once works to create new path are certified by the Council - although planning permission was granted, the marina development has been postponed for foreseeable future. Land has changed hands since, and new landowners have fenced the route - further action needed as they have put barbed wire on pedestrian side of the fence and it appears to encroach on the new route in one area. October 2012.

This page is intentionally left blank

Future Public Rights of Way cases, 2013+

	Ward	DMMO or PPO*	Case Title	Proposal	Applicant	Receipt date
1	Aldermaston	PPO	Public Footpath Brimpton 21	Diversion for benefit of landowner, to resolve private landownership dispute	landowner	09/01/2006
2	Aldermaston	DMMO	Brimpton, Little Park Orchard	Claimed Public Footpath - applicant has no further interest	ex local resident	01/02/2006
3	Aldermaston	DMMO	Padworth, Mill Lane, Aldermaston Wharf	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Padworth Parish Council	17/06/2008
4	Birch Copse	DMMO	Tilehurst, 'Pincent's Manor'	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	local path user	01/07/2012
5	Birch Copse	DMMO	Tilehurst, Farm Drive to Calcot	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	local path user	13/08/2009
6	Bucklebury	DMMO	Bradfield 26 (beach)	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Bradfield PC	08/01/2008
7	Bucklebury	DMMO	Bradfield 26 (ford)	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Bradfield PC	08/01/2008
8	Bucklebury	PPO	Public Bridleway Bucklebury 54a	Diversion around obstructing farm buildings	WBC	n.a.
9	Burghfield	PPO	Public Footpaths Burghfield 15 & 24	Diversion to resolve misplaced bridge	WBC	n.a.
10	Burghfield	PPO	Public Footpath Burghfield 22	Extinguishment of footpath through a lake	WBC	n.a.
11	Burghfield	PPO	Public Footpath Burghfield 23	Diversion to resolve footbridge in wrong alignment	WBC	n.a.
12	Chieveley	DMMO	Chieveley, Crabtree Lane (Curridge)	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	ex-local resident	15/03/2002
13	Downlands	DMMO	Beedon Manor Farm	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	local resident	01/10/2004

Future Public Rights of Way cases, 2013+

	Ward	DMMO or PPO*	Case Title	Proposal	Applicant	Receipt date
14	Downlands	DMMO	East Ilsley, Stanmore Road	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	local path user	01/05/2008
15	Kintbury	PPO	Inkpen 14a	Proposed public footpath creation to bridge a gap	WBC - due to WBC oversight	n.a.
16	Kintbury	PPO	Public Footpath Inkpen 22	Concurrent Creation- Extinguishment to resolve a cul-de-sac brought on by a road diversion	WBC oversight to be resolved by WBC?	01/06/2005
17	Kintbury	DMMO	Kintbury 43' route past St Cassian downs Wallington Road	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	ex-local resident	02/10/2006
18	Kintbury	PPO	Public Footpath Welford 15	Divert public footpath for benefit of landowner	landowner	17/08/2012
19	Lambourn Valley	DMMO	Lambourn, Lynch Lane	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Lambourn Parish Council	28/03/2011
20	Mortimer	DMMO	Padworth, Mill Lane, Aldermaston Wharf	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Padworth Parish Council	17/06/2008
21	Mortimer	DMMO	Stratfield Mortimer, Leighfield to Victoria Road cut-through	Investigate whether to make Order to modify Def. Map	Stratfield Mortimer Parish Council	15/05/2008
22	Speen	PPO	Public Footpath Shaw-cum-Donnington 20	Diversion for benefit of landowner	landowner	03/02/2008
23	Sulhamstead	PPO	Public Bridleway Sulhamstead 16	Extinguishment of obstructed bridleway	WBC	n.a.
24	Thattham Central	PPO	Public Footpath Thattham 20	Diversion to resolve a recurrent crop obstruction issue for the landowner	WBC	n.a.

Future Public Rights of Way cases, 2013+

	Ward	DMMO or PPO*	Case Title	Proposal	Applicant	Receipt date
25	Thatcham South and Crookham	PPO	Public Footpath Thatcham 16	Diversion to resolve obstruction caused by development on public footpath	landowner	26/09/2011

This page is intentionally left blank